IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.114 OF 2005

Satyanarayan N. Maripelli. .. Petitioner

v/s.

The C.E.O., S.R.A. & ors. .. Respondents

Mr.S.S.Redekar for petitioner.

Mr.G.D. Utangale for res.Nos.1 & 2.

Mr.Milind More, AGP for res.Nos.3 & 4.

Mr.A.I.I. Patel for res.No.6.

Mr.Yogesh Thakker i/by Mr.Lalit Jain for res.No.7.

CORAM : H.L. GOKHALE &
S.C.DHARMADHKARI, JJ.

DATED : 21ST MARCH 2005

P.C. :

1. Heard Mr.Redekar in support of this petition. The claim for the additional tenement is made rather belatedly. Respondent No.7 is allotted the concerned tenement within her rights. If it is the case of the petitioner that he is entitled to an additional tenement over and above the one which is allotted to his father, he may represent to the SRA to be considered as a project affected person and if so held, the SRA may make appropriate arrangement. There is no need to

disturb respondent No.7’s tenement in any manner.

2. Petition is dismissed.

(H.L. GOKHALE, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)